

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/811,561	03/29/2004	Gabor Devenyi	03W002	1383
7590 12/28/2007 Raytheon Company			EXAMINER	
2000 East El Segundo Boulevard, EO/E04/N119			JOYCE, WILLIAM C	
P.O. Box 902 El Segundo, CA 90245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/811,561	DEVENYI, GABOR	
Examiner	Art Unit	
William C. Joyce	3682	

| vmmam C. Joyce | 3882 |
-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply

WHIC - Exte	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. relates of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed SIX (5) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication.
- If NO - Fallu Any) period for neity is specified above, the maximum stautory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, tere to neity within the set or extended period for neity will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (8) SL S. C. § 133), reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely flied, may reduce any department under the period of the
Status	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 October 2007.
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1.4 and 5 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) _ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) <u>1.4 and 5</u> is/are rejected.
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicat	ion Papers
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
	·

Attachment(s)	7	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. S) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/811,561 Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed October 1, 2007 for the above identified patent application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshioka (JP 2001-124173).

Yoshioka discloses a screw used in combination with a screw follower, the screw being formed as a shell having threads formed on an outer surface thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshioka (JP 2001-124173).

Application/Control Number: 10/811,561

Art Unit: 3682

Yoshioka discloses a screw used in combination with a screw follower, the screw being formed as a shell having threads formed on an outer surface thereof.

Yoshioka does not appear to disclose the thickness of the cylindrical shell, however it would have been obvious to one in the art to vary the size of the screw, including the thickness of the shell, in order to reduce material cost and to reduce the weight of the device. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Yoshioka does not appear to disclose the material of the screw as being nickelbase material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the screw from a nickel-base material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

 Alternatively, claim 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshioka (JP 2001-124173) in view of Hattori (JP 2000-390458).

Yoshioka does not appear to disclose the material of the screw as being nickelbase material, however it was known in the art to form a screw from a nickel-base material. For example, the prior art of Hattori teaches a screw formed of Manganesenickel-copper alloy. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the screw of Yoshioka from a nickel-based Application/Control Number: 10/811,561

Art Unit: 3682

material, as taught by Hattori, motivation being to form a screw that is suitable for use in a magnetic field and/or form a screw using low cost materials.

Yoshioka does not appear to disclose the thickness of the cylindrical shell, however it would have been obvious to one in the art to vary the size of the screw, including the thickness of the shell, in order to reduce material cost and to reduce the weight of the device. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 1, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The argument that the claimed features require a non-obvious manufacturing process, e.g. deposition, to form a nickel based shell, is not persuasive. The instant claims are apparatus claims, and therefore the method of making the device is not given patentable weight. If the invention is in how the component is made, Examiner suggests that applicant pursue claims drawn to the method of making the shell component. An apparatus claim must define over the prior art in terms of its structure and not the method from which the apparatus is made.

Art Unit: 3682

With respect to forming a screw of a nickel based material, Hattori teaches forming a screw with a nickel based material. Alternatively, forming the shell with a known material would have been within the skill of one in the art.

Accordingly, the claims stand rejected as described above.

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Joyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-7107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William C. Joyce 12/22/07